APPROVED MINUTES OF MEETING 1 CALIFORNIA LAW REVISION COMMISSION 2 July 9, 2020 3 A meeting of the California Law Revision Commission was held on July 9, 4 2020. Consistent with Executive Orders N-25-20 and N-29-20, the meeting was 5 held as an online video webinar. 6 Only those members of the public who identified themselves for the purpose 7 of inclusion in the Minutes are listed as attendees. 8 9 **Commission:** Present: 10 Victor King, Chairperson Crystal Miller-O'Brien, Vice-Chairperson 11 David A. Carrillo 12 Ana Cubas 13 Iane McAllister 14 Richard Rubin 15 16 Richard Simpson Absent: Diane Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel 17 Assembly Member Ed Chau 18 Senator Richard D. Roth 19 Staff: 20 Brian Hebert, Executive Director 21 Barbara Gaal, Chief Deputy Counsel Kristin Burford, Staff Counsel 22 **Other Persons:** 23 Carrie Barnecut, Nugent Square 24 Chris Conley, American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California 25 Dan Hazel, Circle R Ranch 26 27 Marjorie Murray, Center for California Homeowner Association Law Elaine Roberts Musser 28 29 Andrea O'Toole 30 Aniko Sherry, International Consultant 31 David Zepponi, Executive Council of Homeowners

	CONTENTS
1	Approval of Actions Taken2
2	Minutes
3	Administrative Matters
4	Report of Executive Director
5 6	Commissioner Suggestions 2 Meeting Schedule 3
7	2020 Legislative Program
8	Study G-300 — State and Local Agency Access to Customer Information from Communication
9	Service Providers
10 11	Study J-1405.4 — Statutes Made Obsolete by Trial Court Restructuring (Part 6): Regional Justice Facilities Acts
12 13	Study J-1406 — Statutes Made Obsolete by Trial Court Restructuring (Part 7): Government Code Section 70219
14	Study L-3032.5 — Stock Cooperatives and the Uniform TOD Security Registration Act
15	Study X-100 — Emergency-Related Reforms
16	APPROVAL OF ACTIONS TAKEN
17	Unless otherwise indicated, the Commission decisions noted in these Minutes
18	were approved by all members present at the meeting. If a member who was
19	present at the meeting voted against a particular decision, abstained from voting,
20	or was not present when the decision was made, that fact will be noted below.
21	MINUTES
22	The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-22, presenting draft Minutes
22	
23	for the May 21, 2020, meeting.
24	The Commission approved the Minutes without change.
25	(Commissioner McAllister was not present when this decision was made.)
	(
26	ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS
_0	
27	Report of Executive Director
28	The Executive Director briefed the Commission on two matters:
29	(1) The State Budget for 2020-21.
30	(2) Staff investigation of the possibility of using the California Style
31	Manual when preparing Commission materials.
32	Commissioner Suggestions
33	No suggestions were made.

Meeting Schedule

- The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-23, presenting a proposed
- 3 meeting schedule for 2020.
- The Commission approved the proposed schedule, with the understanding
- 5 that it will be revisited if circumstances change so as to permit in-person meetings.
- 6 (Commissioner McAllister was not present when this decision was made.)

Closed Session

- The Commission met in closed session to discuss an employment matter,
- 9 pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(a).
- 10 The session was purely informational. No Commission action was required or
- 11 taken.

1

7

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

29

30

12 2020 LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

- The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-24, discussing the
- 14 Commission's 2020 Legislative Program.
- 15 This was a purely informational memorandum. No Commission action was
- 16 required or taken.
- 17 STUDY G-300 STATE AND LOCAL AGENCY ACCESS TO CUSTOMER INFORMATION
- 18 FROM COMMUNICATION SERVICE PROVIDERS
- 19 The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-31, discussing government
- 20 access to customer information from communication service providers.

Service Provider Liability

- For the purposes of preparing a tentative recommendation, the Commission approved a revision of Penal Code Section 1546.4(d), along the following lines:
- 24 1546.4. ...
 - (d)(1) A California or foreign corporation service provider, and its officers, employees, and agents, are not subject to any cause of action for providing records, information, facilities, or assistance in accordance with the terms of a warrant, court order, statutory authorization, emergency certification, or wiretap order issued pursuant to this chapter.
- (2) Nothing in this subdivision affects any liability of a service provider for an act that is not compelled by the terms of a warrant, court order, statutory authorization, emergency certification, or wiretap order issued pursuant to this chapter.

Comment. Subdivision (d) of Section 1546.4 is amended to make 1 clear that it applies to any service provider and not just one that is 2 formed as a corporation. 3 **Special Master** 4 For the purposes of preparing a tentative recommendation, the Commission 5 approved a revision of Penal Code Section 1524 to make clear that, for the purposes 6 7 of Section 1524(c), records prepared or held by an electronic communication service provider on behalf of a customer are deemed to be "within the possession 8 or control" of the customer. 9 10 STUDY J-1405.4 — STATUTES MADE OBSOLETE BY TRIAL COURT RESTRUCTURING (PART 6): REGIONAL JUSTICE FACILITIES ACTS 11 12 The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-28, which presents a draft of a tentative recommendation proposing to repeal the San Joaquin County Regional 13 Justice Facility Financing Act (Gov't Code §§ 26290-26293.4), the Orange County 14 15 Regional Justice Facilities Act (Gov't Code §§ 26295-26298.58), and the County Regional Justice Facilities Financing Act (Gov't Code §§ 26299-26299.083) as 16 17 obsolete. The Commission approved that draft as a tentative recommendation, to be posted to its website and circulated for comment. 18 19 (Commissioner Miller-O'Brien was not present when this decision was made.) STUDY J-1406 — STATUTES MADE OBSOLETE BY TRIAL COURT RESTRUCTURING 20 (PART 7): GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 70219 21 The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-29, which presents a draft of 22 23 a tentative recommendation proposing to repeal Government Code Section 70219 as obsolete. The Commission approved that draft as a tentative recommendation, 24 25 to be posted to its website and circulated for comment. (Commissioner Miller-O'Brien was not present when this decision was made.) 26 STUDY L-3032.5 — STOCK COOPERATIVES AND THE 27 UNIFORM TOD SECURITY REGISTRATION ACT 28 The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-30, discussing adaptation of 29 the Uniform TOD Security Registration Act (Probate Code Sections 5500-5512) to 30 31 allow a nonprobate transfer of an owner's interest in a stock cooperative.

For the purposes of preparing a tentative recommendation, the Commission approved the inclusion of a provision along the following lines (with brackets indicating language that would be contingent on the placement of the provision):

§ [____]. Restriction on transferred property

- [___]. (a) A separate interest in a stock cooperative that is transferred pursuant to [this part] remains subject to any limitation on the transferor's interest that was enforceable against the separate interest at the time of the transferor's death, including, but not limited to, a restriction on occupancy, an option to purchase the membership on the transferor's death, a limitation on the transfer value in a limited equity housing cooperative, a lien, an encumbrance, an easement, or a lease. The holder of those rights may enforce them against the separate interest notwithstanding its transfer pursuant to [this part].
- (b) Except as provided in subdivision (c), property may be transferred pursuant to [this part] even if the beneficiary is not eligible, under the governing documents of the stock cooperative, to occupy the transferred property.
- (c) If a separate interest is registered in beneficiary form pursuant to [this part] and the stock cooperative exercises an option to purchase the separate interest on the owner's death, the result is as follows:
- (1) The separate interest is transferred to the stock cooperative rather than the beneficiary.
- (2) The purchase price is paid to the beneficiary. Unless the law or the governing documents of the stock cooperative provide otherwise, the purchase price is the fair market value of the separate interest, less the amount of any liens or encumbrances on the property at the time of the owner's death and any amount that the decedent owed the stock cooperative.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section [___] provides that a separate interest that is transferred pursuant to [this part] remains subject to any interests or limitations that were enforceable against it at the time of the transferor's death. For a comparable provision, see Section 5652(b) (revocable transfer on death deed).

Subdivision (b) provides that a separate interest may be transferred to a beneficiary who is not eligible to occupy the separate interest (e.g., the beneficiary is too young to reside in an agerestricted community). Such ineligibility does not affect the beneficiary's ability to transfer the property to another person.

Subdivision (c) addresses the effect of registration in beneficiary form when a stock cooperative exercises an option to purchase the registered property on the owner's death. Paragraph (2) of that subdivision makes clear that the price paid to purchase a separate interest may be limited by law or the governing documents of the stock cooperative. For example, in a limited equity housing

cooperative, the amount paid will be based on a specified transfer value, rather than fair market value. See Civ. Code § 817.

STUDY X-100 — EMERGENCY-RELATED REFORMS

The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-25 (expansion of Commission study authority), Memorandum 2020-26 (pending sunset dates) and Memorandum 2020-27 and its First, Second, and Third Supplements (teleconference meetings in common interest developments). The Commission's decisions on those topics are set out below.

Commission Study Authority

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

The Commission decided that each Commissioner may provide the staff with a list of emergency-related topics that should perhaps be authorized for Commission study. The lists may include topics drawn from pages 7 and 8 of Memorandum 2020-25, but are not limited to those topics. The staff will present all of the Commissioner suggestions in a memorandum that will be considered at the August 13, 2020 meeting. The Commission will then decide whether to request legislative authorization to study any of those topics.

Pending Sunset Dates

The Commission approved the draft attached to Memorandum 2020-26 as a final report, subject to the following decisions:

- The staff will make changes to the draft to reflect recently enacted budget legislation.
- The Chair will review those changes.

Teleconference Meetings in Common Interest Developments

The Commission decided to develop a proposal that would make it easier for common interest developments to conduct meetings by teleconference during an emergency. The proposal would also include rules to preserve members' existing rights to observe and participate in the meeting. In its work on this topic, the Commission will consider the specific proposals that have been made to date, which are discussed in Memorandum 2020-27 and its First, Second, and Third Supplements.